

Rigged configurations for generalized Kac–Moody algebras

Ben Salisbury

Central Michigan University

Special Session on
Diagrammatic and Combinatorial Methods in Representation Theory
AMS Spring Western Sectional Meeting

Joint work with Travis Scrimshaw (The University of Queensland)

Main results

Let

- ▶ A be an even, integral, symmetrizable Borcherds–Cartan matrix;
- ▶ \mathfrak{g} be a generalized Kac–Moody algebra associated to A ; and
- ▶ $B(\infty)$ be the crystal basis of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$.

Main results

Let

- ▶ A be an even, integral, symmetrizable Borcherds–Cartan matrix;
- ▶ \mathfrak{g} be a generalized Kac–Moody algebra associated to A ; and
- ▶ $B(\infty)$ be the crystal basis of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem (S–Scrimshaw, 2015, 2018, 2021)

- ▶ *There is a combinatorial model for $B(\infty)$ given by rigged configurations.*
- ▶ *The $*$ -crystal structure on $B(\infty)$ can be computed using the combinatorics of rigged configurations.*

Main results

Let

- ▶ A be an even, integral, symmetrizable Borcherds–Cartan matrix;
- ▶ \mathfrak{g} be a generalized Kac–Moody algebra associated to A ; and
- ▶ $B(\infty)$ be the crystal basis of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem (S–Scrimshaw, 2015, 2018, 2021)

- ▶ *There is a combinatorial model for $B(\infty)$ given by rigged configurations.*
- ▶ *The $*$ -crystal structure on $B(\infty)$ can be computed using the combinatorics of rigged configurations.*

Theorem (S–Scrimshaw, 2018, 2021)

There exists a list of conditions which characterize $B(\infty)$ completely and makes the role of the $$ -crystal operators explicit.*

Borcherds–Cartan matrices

Let I be a countable set. A *Borcherds–Cartan matrix* $A = (A_{ab})_{a,b \in I}$ is a real matrix such that

- 1 $A_{aa} = 2$ or $A_{aa} \leq 0$ for $a \in I$,
- 2 $A_{ab} \leq 0$ if $a \neq b$,
- 3 $A_{ab} \in \mathbf{Z}$ if $A_{aa} = 2$, and
- 4 $A_{ab} = 0 \iff A_{ba} = 0$.

Let I be a countable set. A *Borcherds–Cartan matrix* $A = (A_{ab})_{a,b \in I}$ is a real matrix such that

- ❶ $A_{aa} = 2$ or $A_{aa} \leq 0$ for $a \in I$,
- ❷ $A_{ab} \leq 0$ if $a \neq b$,
- ❸ $A_{ab} \in \mathbf{Z}$ if $A_{aa} = 2$, and
- ❹ $A_{ab} = 0 \iff A_{ba} = 0$.

- ▶ An index $a \in I$ is called *real* if $A_{aa} = 2$ and is called *imaginary* if $A_{aa} \leq 0$.
- ▶ The subset of I of all real (resp. imaginary) indices is denoted I^{re} (resp. I^{im}).
- ▶ We will always assume that $A_{ab} \in \mathbf{Z}$, $A_{aa} \in \{2\} \cup 2\mathbf{Z}_{<0}$, and that A is symmetrizable.

Example

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $I^{\text{re}} = \{1\}$ and $I^{\text{im}} = \{2\}$.

Examples

Example

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $I^{\text{re}} = \{1\}$ and $I^{\text{im}} = \{2\}$.

Example (Borcherds, 1992)

Let $I = \{(i, t) : i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq -1}, 1 \leq t \leq c(i)\}$, where $c(i)$ is the i -th coefficient of the elliptic modular function

$$j(q) - 744 = q^{-1} + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + \cdots = \sum_{i \geq -1} c(i)q^i.$$

Define $A = (A_{(i,t),(j,s)})$, where each entry is defined by

$$A_{(i,t),(j,s)} = -(i + j).$$

Definition (Jeong–Kang–Kashiwara–Shin, 2007)

An *abstract $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal* is a nonempty set B together with maps

$$e_a, f_a: B \longrightarrow B \sqcup \{\mathbf{0}\}, \quad \varepsilon_a, \varphi_a: B \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}, \quad \text{wt}: B \longrightarrow P,$$

(Kashiwara operators) (weight map)

for $a \in I$, subject to the conditions for abstract crystals associated to Kac–Moody algebras, with the following changes:

Definition (Jeong–Kang–Kashiwara–Shin, 2007)

An *abstract $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal* is a nonempty set B together with maps

$$e_a, f_a: B \longrightarrow B \sqcup \{\mathbf{0}\}, \quad \varepsilon_a, \varphi_a: B \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}, \quad \text{wt}: B \longrightarrow P,$$

(Kashiwara operators) (weight map)

for $a \in I$, subject to the conditions for abstract crystals associated to Kac–Moody algebras, with the following changes:

- 1 For any $a \in I$ and $v \in B$ such that $e_a v \neq \mathbf{0}$,
 - a. $\varepsilon_a(e_a v) = \varepsilon_a(v) - 1$ and $\varphi_a(e_a v) = \varphi_a(v) + 1$ if $a \in I^{\text{re}}$,
 - b. $\varepsilon_a(e_a v) = \varepsilon_a(v)$ and $\varphi_a(e_a v) = \varphi_a(v) + A_{aa}$ if $a \in I^{\text{im}}$.

- 2 For any $a \in I$ and $v \in B$ such that $f_a v \neq \mathbf{0}$,
 - a. $\varepsilon_a(f_a v) = \varepsilon_a(v) + 1$ and $\varphi_a(f_a v) = \varphi_a(v) - 1$ if $a \in I^{\text{re}}$,
 - b. $\varepsilon_a(f_a v) = \varepsilon_a(v)$ and $\varphi_a(f_a v) = \varphi_a(v) - A_{aa}$ if $a \in I^{\text{im}}$.

The crystal $B(\infty)$ (Jeong–Kang–Kashiwara, 2005)

The negative half $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ has an associated abstract $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal, denoted

$$B(\infty) = \{f_{a_1} \cdots f_{a_r} \mathbf{1} : r \geq 0, a_1, \dots, a_r \in I\}.$$

Here, $\mathbf{1} \in B(\infty)$ is the unique element such that $\text{wt}(\mathbf{1}) = 0$.

The crystal $B(\infty)$ (Jeong–Kang–Kashiwara, 2005)

The negative half $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ has an associated abstract $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal, denoted

$$B(\infty) = \{f_{a_1} \cdots f_{a_r} \mathbf{1} : r \geq 0, a_1, \dots, a_r \in I\}.$$

Here, $\mathbf{1} \in B(\infty)$ is the unique element such that $\text{wt}(\mathbf{1}) = 0$.

Moreover, for all $v \in B(\infty)$ and $a, a_1, \dots, a_r \in I$, we have

$$\varepsilon_a(v) = \begin{cases} \max\{k \geq 0 : e_a^k v \neq \mathbf{0}\} & \text{if } a \in I^{\text{re}}, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \in I^{\text{im}}, \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_a(v) = \varepsilon_a(v) + \langle h_a, \text{wt}(v) \rangle,$$

$$\text{wt}(f_{a_1} \cdots f_{a_r} \mathbf{1}) = -\alpha_{a_1} - \cdots - \alpha_{a_r}.$$

*-involution on $B(\infty)$

There is a $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ -antiautomorphism $*$: $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by

$$E_a^* = E_a, \quad F_a^* = F_a, \quad q^* = q, \quad (q^h)^* = q^{-h}.$$

This is an involution which leaves $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ stable.

*-involution on $B(\infty)$

There is a $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ -antiautomorphism $*$: $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by

$$E_a^* = E_a, \quad F_a^* = F_a, \quad q^* = q, \quad (q^h)^* = q^{-h}.$$

This is an involution which leaves $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ stable.

Theorem (Lusztig, 1990; Kashiwara, 1993; Lamrou, 2012)

Let $B(\infty)^$ be the image of $B(\infty)$ under $*$. Then $B(\infty)^* = B(\infty)$.*

*-involution on $B(\infty)$

There is a $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ -antiautomorphism $*$: $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by

$$E_a^* = E_a, \quad F_a^* = F_a, \quad q^* = q, \quad (q^h)^* = q^{-h}.$$

This is an involution which leaves $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ stable.

Theorem (Lusztig, 1990; Kashiwara, 1993; Lamrou, 2012)

Let $B(\infty)^*$ be the image of $B(\infty)$ under $*$. Then $B(\infty)^* = B(\infty)$.

This induces a new crystal structure on $B(\infty)$ given by

$$e_a^* = * \circ e_a \circ *, \quad f_a^* = * \circ f_a \circ *, \quad \varepsilon_a^* = \varepsilon_a \circ *, \quad \varphi_a^* = \varphi_a \circ *,$$

and weight function wt being the usual weight function on $B(\infty)$.

Rigged configurations

A *rigged configuration* is a pair (ν, J) consisting of

- ▶ a multipartition $\nu = (\nu^{(a)} : a \in I)$ and
- ▶ a collection $J = (J_i^{(a)} : a \in I, i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})$ of multisets of integers

which satisfy certain conditions.

Rigged configurations

A *rigged configuration* is a pair (ν, J) consisting of

- ▶ a multipartition $\nu = (\nu^{(a)} : a \in I)$ and
- ▶ a collection $J = (J_i^{(a)} : a \in I, i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})$ of multisets of integers which satisfy certain conditions.

Example



Rigged configurations

A *rigged configuration* is a pair (ν, J) consisting of

- ▶ a multipartition $\nu = (\nu^{(a)} : a \in I)$ and
- ▶ a collection $J = (J_i^{(a)} : a \in I, i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})$ of multisets of integers which satisfy certain conditions.

Example



- ▶ The numbers on the right of the partition correspond to J and are called *riggings* or *labels*.

Rigged configurations

A *rigged configuration* is a pair (ν, J) consisting of

- ▶ a multipartition $\nu = (\nu^{(a)} : a \in I)$ and
- ▶ a collection $J = (J_i^{(a)} : a \in I, i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})$ of multisets of integers which satisfy certain conditions.

Example

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} -1 \\ -1 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} -1 \\ -1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & 0 \\ \hline \square & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \end{array}$$

- ▶ The numbers on the right of the partition correspond to J and are called *riggings* or *labels*.
- ▶ The numbers of the left are the *vacancy numbers* $p_i^{(a)}$.

Rigged configurations

A *rigged configuration* is a pair (ν, J) consisting of

- ▶ a multipartition $\nu = (\nu^{(a)} : a \in I)$ and
- ▶ a collection $J = (J_i^{(a)} : a \in I, i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})$ of multisets of integers which satisfy certain conditions.

Example

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} -1 \\ -1 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} -1 \\ -1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & 0 \\ \hline \square & 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \end{array}$$

- ▶ The numbers on the right of the partition correspond to J and are called *riggings* or *labels*.
- ▶ The numbers of the left are the *vacancy numbers* $p_i^{(a)}$.
- ▶ The numbers $p_i^{(a)} - x$ are the *corrigings* or *colabels*.

Definition (Schilling, 2006; S–Scrimshaw, 2021)

Fix some $a \in I$. Let x be the smallest rigging in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$.

- ▶ Suppose $a \in I^{\text{re}}$. If $x = 0$, then $e_a(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$. Otherwise, let r be a row in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ of minimal length ℓ with rigging x .
- ▶ Suppose $a \in I^{\text{im}}$. If $\nu^{(a)} = \emptyset$ or $x \neq -A_{aa}/2$, then $e_a(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$. Otherwise let r be the row with rigging $-A_{aa}/2$.

If $e_a(\nu, J) \neq \mathbf{0}$, then $e_a(\nu, J)$ is the rigged configuration that removes a box from row r , sets the new rigging of r to be $x + A_{aa}/2$, and changes all other riggings such that the coriggings remain fixed.

Definition (Schilling, 2006; S–Scrimshaw, 2021)

Fix some $a \in I$. Let x be the smallest rigging in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$.

- ▶ Suppose $a \in I^{\text{re}}$. If $x = 0$, then $e_a(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$. Otherwise, let r be a row in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ of minimal length ℓ with rigging x .
- ▶ Suppose $a \in I^{\text{im}}$. If $\nu^{(a)} = \emptyset$ or $x \neq -A_{aa}/2$, then $e_a(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$. Otherwise let r be the row with rigging $-A_{aa}/2$.

If $e_a(\nu, J) \neq \mathbf{0}$, then $e_a(\nu, J)$ is the rigged configuration that removes a box from row r , sets the new rigging of r to be $x + A_{aa}/2$, and changes all other riggings such that the coriggings remain fixed.

$$\text{Let } I = \{1, 2\}, A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } (\nu, J) = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 5 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & -1 & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 14 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 12 \\ 7 \\ 3 \end{array} .$$

Definition (Schilling, 2006; S–Scrimshaw, 2021)

Fix some $a \in I$. Let x be the smallest rigging in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$.

- ▶ Suppose $a \in I^{\text{re}}$. If $x = 0$, then $e_a(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$. Otherwise, let r be a row in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ of minimal length ℓ with rigging x .
- ▶ Suppose $a \in I^{\text{im}}$. If $\nu^{(a)} = \emptyset$ or $x \neq -A_{aa}/2$, then $e_a(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$. Otherwise let r be the row with rigging $-A_{aa}/2$.

If $e_a(\nu, J) \neq \mathbf{0}$, then $e_a(\nu, J)$ is the rigged configuration that removes a box from row r , sets the new rigging of r to be $x + A_{aa}/2$, and changes all other riggings such that the corrigings remain fixed.

Let $I = \{1, 2\}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$, and $(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} 1 & \begin{matrix} \square & \square & \square \end{matrix} & 1 \\ 5 & \begin{matrix} \square \\ -1 \end{matrix} \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} 14 & \begin{matrix} \square \\ \square \\ \square \end{matrix} & 12 \\ 14 & & 7 \\ 14 & & 3 \end{matrix}$. Then

$$e_1(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} 3 & \begin{matrix} \square & \square & \square \end{matrix} & 3 \\ 13 & \begin{matrix} \square \\ \square \\ \square \end{matrix} & 11 \\ 13 & & 6 \\ 13 & & 2 \end{matrix}$$

Definition (Schilling, 2006; S–Scrimshaw, 2021)

Fix some $a \in I$. Let x be the smallest rigging in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$.

- ▶ Suppose $a \in I^{\text{re}}$. If $x = 0$, then $e_a(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$. Otherwise, let r be a row in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ of minimal length ℓ with rigging x .
- ▶ Suppose $a \in I^{\text{im}}$. If $\nu^{(a)} = \emptyset$ or $x \neq -A_{aa}/2$, then $e_a(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$. Otherwise let r be the row with rigging $-A_{aa}/2$.

If $e_a(\nu, J) \neq \mathbf{0}$, then $e_a(\nu, J)$ is the rigged configuration that removes a box from row r , sets the new rigging of r to be $x + A_{aa}/2$, and changes all other riggings such that the coriggings remain fixed.

Let $I = \{1, 2\}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$, and $(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} 1 & \boxed{} & \boxed{} & \boxed{} & 1 \\ 5 & \boxed{} & -1 & & \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} 14 & \boxed{} & 12 \\ 14 & \boxed{} & 7 \\ 14 & \boxed{} & 3 \end{matrix}$. Then

$$e_1(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} 3 & \boxed{} & \boxed{} & \boxed{} & 3 \\ 13 & \boxed{} & & & 11 \\ 13 & \boxed{} & & & 6 \\ 13 & \boxed{} & & & 2 \end{matrix} \quad \text{and} \quad e_2(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Definition (Schilling, 2006; S-Scrimshaw, 2021)

Fix some $a \in I$. Let x be the smallest rigging in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$. Let r be a row in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ of maximal length ℓ with rigging x . Then $f_a(\nu, J)$ is the rigged configuration that adds a box to row r , sets the new rigging of r to be $x - A_{aa}/2$, and changes all other riggings such that the coriggings remain fixed.

Definition (Schilling, 2006; S-Scrimshaw, 2021)

Fix some $a \in I$. Let x be the smallest rigging in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$. Let r be a row in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ of maximal length ℓ with rigging x . Then $f_a(\nu, J)$ is the rigged configuration that adds a box to row r , sets the new rigging of r to be $x - A_{aa}/2$, and changes all other riggings such that the coriggings remain fixed.

Let $I = \{1, 2\}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$, and $(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} 1 & \begin{matrix} \square & \square & \square \end{matrix} & 1 \\ 5 & \begin{matrix} \square \\ -1 \end{matrix} \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} 14 & \begin{matrix} \square \end{matrix} & 12 \\ 14 & \begin{matrix} \square \end{matrix} & 7 \\ 14 & \begin{matrix} \square \end{matrix} & 3 \end{matrix} .$

Definition (Schilling, 2006; S-Scrimshaw, 2021)

Fix some $a \in I$. Let x be the smallest rigging in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$. Let r be a row in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ of maximal length ℓ with rigging x . Then $f_a(\nu, J)$ is the rigged configuration that adds a box to row r , sets the new rigging of r to be $x - A_{aa}/2$, and changes all other riggings such that the coriggings remain fixed.

Let $I = \{1, 2\}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$, and $(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} 1 & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} & 1 & \begin{matrix} 14 \\ 14 \\ 14 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 12 \\ 7 \\ 3 \end{matrix} \end{matrix}$. Then

$$f_1(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} -1 & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} & -1 & \begin{matrix} 14 \\ 14 \\ 14 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 12 \\ 7 \\ 3 \end{matrix} \\ 1 & & -2 & & \end{matrix}$$

Definition (Schilling, 2006; S-Scrimshaw, 2021)

Fix some $a \in I$. Let x be the smallest rigging in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$. Let r be a row in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ of maximal length ℓ with rigging x . Then $f_a(\nu, J)$ is the rigged configuration that adds a box to row r , sets the new rigging of r to be $x - A_{aa}/2$, and changes all other riggings such that the coriggings remain fixed.

Let $I = \{1, 2\}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$, and $(\nu, J) = \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad 1 \quad \begin{array}{c} 14 \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad 12 \\ 5 \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad -1 \quad \begin{array}{c} 14 \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad 7 \\ 14 \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad 3 \end{array} .$ Then

$f_1(\nu, J) = \begin{array}{c} -1 \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad -1 \quad \begin{array}{c} 14 \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad 12 \\ 1 \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad -2 \quad \begin{array}{c} 14 \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad 7 \\ 14 \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad 3 \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(\nu, J) = \begin{array}{c} 4 \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad 4 \quad \begin{array}{c} 18 \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad 16 \\ 8 \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad 2 \quad \begin{array}{c} 18 \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad 11 \\ 18 \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad 7 \\ 18 \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad 2 \end{array} .$

Definition (S–Scrimshaw, 2018, 2021)

Fix some $a \in I$. Let x be the smallest corigging in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$.

- ▶ Suppose $a \in I^{\text{re}}$. If $x = 0$, then $e_a^*(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$. Otherwise, let r be a row in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ of minimal length ℓ with corigging x .
- ▶ Suppose $a \in I^{\text{im}}$. If $\nu^{(a)} = \emptyset$ or $x \neq -A_{aa}/2$, then $e_a^*(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$. Otherwise let r be the row with corigging $-A_{aa}/2$.

If $e_a^*(\nu, J) \neq \mathbf{0}$, then $e_a^*(\nu, J)$ is the rigged configuration that removes a box from row r , sets the rigging of r so that the corigging is $x - A_{aa}/2$, and keeps all other riggings fixed.

Definition (S–Scrimshaw, 2018, 2021)

Fix some $a \in I$. Let x be the smallest corigging in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$.

- ▶ Suppose $a \in I^{\text{re}}$. If $x = 0$, then $e_a^*(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$. Otherwise, let r be a row in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ of minimal length ℓ with corigging x .
- ▶ Suppose $a \in I^{\text{im}}$. If $\nu^{(a)} = \emptyset$ or $x \neq -A_{aa}/2$, then $e_a^*(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$. Otherwise let r be the row with corigging $-A_{aa}/2$.

If $e_a^*(\nu, J) \neq \mathbf{0}$, then $e_a^*(\nu, J)$ is the rigged configuration that removes a box from row r , sets the rigging of r so that the corigging is $x - A_{aa}/2$, and keeps all other riggings fixed.

Let $I = \{1, 2\}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$, and $(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} 1 & \boxed{} & \boxed{} & \boxed{} & 1 \\ 5 & \boxed{} & -1 & & \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 14 & \boxed{} & 12 \\ 14 & \boxed{} & 7 \\ 14 & \boxed{} & 3 \end{matrix} .$

Definition (S–Scrimshaw, 2018, 2021)

Fix some $a \in I$. Let x be the smallest corigging in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$.

- ▶ Suppose $a \in I^{\text{re}}$. If $x = 0$, then $e_a^*(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$. Otherwise, let r be a row in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ of minimal length ℓ with corigging x .
- ▶ Suppose $a \in I^{\text{im}}$. If $\nu^{(a)} = \emptyset$ or $x \neq -A_{aa}/2$, then $e_a^*(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$. Otherwise let r be the row with corigging $-A_{aa}/2$.

If $e_a^*(\nu, J) \neq \mathbf{0}$, then $e_a^*(\nu, J)$ is the rigged configuration that removes a box from row r , sets the rigging of r so that the corigging is $x - A_{aa}/2$, and keeps all other riggings fixed.

Let $I = \{1, 2\}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$, and $(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} 1 & \boxed{} & \boxed{} & \boxed{} & 1 \\ 5 & \boxed{} & -1 & & \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 14 & \boxed{} & 12 \\ 14 & \boxed{} & 7 \\ 14 & \boxed{} & 3 \end{matrix}$. Then

$$e_1^*(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$$

Definition (S–Scrimshaw, 2018, 2021)

Fix some $a \in I$. Let x be the smallest corigging in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$.

- ▶ Suppose $a \in I^{\text{re}}$. If $x = 0$, then $e_a^*(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$. Otherwise, let r be a row in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ of minimal length ℓ with corigging x .
- ▶ Suppose $a \in I^{\text{im}}$. If $\nu^{(a)} = \emptyset$ or $x \neq -A_{aa}/2$, then $e_a^*(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0}$. Otherwise let r be the row with corigging $-A_{aa}/2$.

If $e_a^*(\nu, J) \neq \mathbf{0}$, then $e_a^*(\nu, J)$ is the rigged configuration that removes a box from row r , sets the rigging of r so that the corigging is $x - A_{aa}/2$, and keeps all other riggings fixed.

Let $I = \{1, 2\}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$, and $(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} 1 & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} & 1 & \begin{matrix} 14 \\ 14 \\ 14 \end{matrix} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 12 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \end{matrix}$. Then

$$e_1^*(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{and} \quad e_2^*(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} -2 & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} & 1 & \begin{matrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{matrix} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 7 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \end{matrix}.$$

Definition (S–Scrimshaw, 2018, 2021)

Fix some $a \in I$. Let x be the smallest corigging in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$. Let r be a row in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ of maximal length ℓ with corigging x . Then $f_a^*(\nu, J)$ is the rigged configuration that adds a box to row r , sets the rigging of r so that the corigging is $x - A_{aa}/2$, and keeps all other riggings fixed.

Definition (S–Scrimshaw, 2018, 2021)

Fix some $a \in I$. Let x be the smallest corigging in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$. Let r be a row in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ of maximal length ℓ with corigging x . Then $f_a^*(\nu, J)$ is the rigged configuration that adds a box to row r , sets the rigging of r so that the corigging is $x - A_{aa}/2$, and keeps all other riggings fixed.

Let $I = \{1, 2\}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$, and $(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} 1 & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} & 1 \\ 5 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline -1 \\ \hline \end{array} & \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} 14 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & 12 \\ 14 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & 7 \\ 14 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & 3 \end{matrix} .$

Definition (S–Scrimshaw, 2018, 2021)

Fix some $a \in I$. Let x be the smallest corigging in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$. Let r be a row in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ of maximal length ℓ with corigging x . Then $f_a^*(\nu, J)$ is the rigged configuration that adds a box to row r , sets the rigging of r so that the corigging is $x - A_{aa}/2$, and keeps all other riggings fixed.

Let $I = \{1, 2\}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$, and $(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} 1 & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} & 1 \\ 5 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline -1 \\ \hline \end{array} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 14 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & 12 \\ 14 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & 7 \\ 14 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & 3 \end{matrix}$. Then

$$f_1^*(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} -1 & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} & 0 & 14 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & 12 \\ 5 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline -1 \\ \hline \end{array} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 14 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & 7 \\ 14 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & 3 \end{matrix}$$

Definition (S–Scrimshaw, 2018, 2021)

Fix some $a \in I$. Let x be the smallest corigging in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$. Let r be a row in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ of maximal length ℓ with corigging x . Then $f_a^*(\nu, J)$ is the rigged configuration that adds a box to row r , sets the rigging of r so that the corigging is $x - A_{aa}/2$, and keeps all other riggings fixed.

Let $I = \{1, 2\}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$, and $(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} 1 & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} & 1 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 14 \\ \hline \end{array} & 12 \\ 5 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline -1 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 14 \\ \hline 14 \\ \hline 14 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 7 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \end{matrix} .$ Then

$f_1^*(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} -1 & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} & 0 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 14 \\ \hline \end{array} & 12 \\ 5 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline -1 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 14 \\ \hline 14 \\ \hline 14 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 7 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \end{matrix}$ and $f_2^*(\nu, J) = \begin{matrix} 4 & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} & 1 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 18 \\ \hline \end{array} & 16 \\ 8 & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline -1 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 18 \\ \hline 18 \\ \hline 18 \\ \hline 18 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 12 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \end{matrix} .$

Crystal model for $B(\infty)$

Define $(\nu_\emptyset, J_\emptyset)$ by

- ▶ $\nu_\emptyset^{(a)} = 0$ for all $a \in I$,
- ▶ $J_i^{(a)} = 0$ for all $(a, i) \in I \times \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$.

Crystal model for $B(\infty)$

Define $(\nu_\emptyset, J_\emptyset)$ by

- ▶ $\nu_\emptyset^{(a)} = 0$ for all $a \in I$,
- ▶ $J_i^{(a)} = 0$ for all $(a, i) \in I \times \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$.

Definition

Define $\text{RC}(\infty)$ to be the graph generated by $(\nu_\emptyset, J_\emptyset)$, e_a , and f_a , for $a \in I$.

Crystal model for $B(\infty)$

Define $(\nu_\emptyset, J_\emptyset)$ by

- ▶ $\nu_\emptyset^{(a)} = 0$ for all $a \in I$,
- ▶ $J_i^{(a)} = 0$ for all $(a, i) \in I \times \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$.

Definition

Define $\text{RC}(\infty)$ to be the graph generated by $(\nu_\emptyset, J_\emptyset)$, e_a , and f_a , for $a \in I$.

The remainder of the crystal structure is given by

$$\varepsilon_a(\nu, J) = \begin{cases} \max\{k \in \mathbf{Z} : e_a^k(\nu, J) \neq 0\} & \text{if } a \in I^{\text{re}} \\ 0 & \text{if } a \in I^{\text{im}}, \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_a(\nu, J) = \langle h_a, \text{wt}(\nu, J) \rangle + \varepsilon_a(\nu, J),$$

$$\text{wt}(\nu, J) = - \sum_{a \in I} |\nu^{(a)}| \alpha_a.$$

Definition

Define $\text{RC}(\infty)^*$ to be the graph generated by $(\nu_\emptyset, J_\emptyset)$, e_a^* , and f_a^* , for $a \in I$.

Definition

Define $\text{RC}(\infty)^*$ to be the graph generated by $(\nu_\emptyset, J_\emptyset)$, e_a^* , and f_a^* , for $a \in I$.

Define the remaining crystal structure by

$$\varepsilon_a^*(\nu, J) = \begin{cases} \max\{k \in \mathbf{Z} : (e_a^*)^k(\nu, J) \neq 0\} & \text{if } a \in I^{\text{re}}, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \in I^{\text{im}}, \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_a^*(\nu, J) = \langle h_a, \text{wt}(\nu, J) \rangle + \varepsilon_a^*(\nu, J),$$

$$\text{wt}(\nu, J) = - \sum_{a \in I} |\nu^{(a)}| \alpha_a.$$

Theorem (S–Scrimshaw, 2018, 2021)

As $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystals, $\text{RC}(\infty) \cong \text{RC}(\infty)^* \cong B(\infty)$ and

$$e_a^* = * \circ e_a \circ *, \quad f_a^* = * \circ f_a \circ *.$$

Theorem (S–Scrimshaw, 2018, 2021)

As $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystals, $\text{RC}(\infty) \cong \text{RC}(\infty)^* \cong B(\infty)$ and

$$e_a^* = * \circ e_a \circ *, \quad f_a^* = * \circ f_a \circ *.$$

Corollary

The $*$ -involution on $\text{RC}(\infty)$ is given by replacing every rigging x of a row of length i in $(\nu, J)^{(a)}$ by the corresponding corigging $p_i^{(a)} - x$ for all $a \in I$ and $i > 0$.

Irreducible highest weight crystals

Associated to each irreducible highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $V(\lambda)$ in \mathcal{O}^{int} is an abstract $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal, denoted

$$B(\lambda) = \{f_{a_1} \cdots f_{a_r} u_\lambda : r \geq 0, a_1, \dots, a_r \in I\} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

Here, $u_\lambda \in B(\lambda)$ is the unique element such that $\text{wt}(u_\lambda) = \lambda$.

Irreducible highest weight crystals

Associated to each irreducible highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $V(\lambda)$ in \mathcal{O}^{int} is an abstract $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal, denoted

$$B(\lambda) = \{f_{a_1} \cdots f_{a_r} u_\lambda : r \geq 0, a_1, \dots, a_r \in I\} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

Here, $u_\lambda \in B(\lambda)$ is the unique element such that $\text{wt}(u_\lambda) = \lambda$.

In this case, for all $a, a_1, \dots, a_r \in I$ and $v \in B(\lambda)$, we have

$$\varepsilon_a(v) = \begin{cases} \max\{k \geq 0 : e_a^k v \neq \mathbf{0}\} & \text{if } a \in I^{\text{re}}, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \in I^{\text{im}}, \end{cases}$$
$$\varphi_a(v) = \begin{cases} \max\{k \geq 0 : f_a^k v \neq \mathbf{0}\} & \text{if } a \in I^{\text{re}}, \\ \langle h_a, \text{wt}(v) \rangle & \text{if } a \in I^{\text{im}}, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{wt}(f_{a_1} \cdots f_{a_r} u_\lambda) = \lambda - \alpha_{a_1} - \cdots - \alpha_{a_r}.$$

Theorem (Jeong–Kang–Kashiwara–Shin, 2007)

Let $\lambda \in P^+$ and let T_λ and C be certain “elementary crystals.” Then $B(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to the connected component of $B(\infty) \otimes T_\lambda \otimes C$ containing $\mathbf{1} \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c$.

Theorem (Jeong–Kang–Kashiwara–Shin, 2007)

Let $\lambda \in P^+$ and let T_λ and C be certain “elementary crystals.” Then $B(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to the connected component of $B(\infty) \otimes T_\lambda \otimes C$ containing $\mathbf{1} \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c$.

- ▶ Define new crystal operators $f'_a(\nu, J)$ as $f_a(\nu, J)$ unless
 - $p_i^{(a)} + \langle h_a, \lambda \rangle < x$ for some $(a, i) \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x \in J_i^{(a)}$ or
 - $\varphi_a(\nu, J) = 0$ for $a \in I^{\text{im}}$,in which case set $f'_a(\nu, J) = 0$.
- ▶ Let $\text{RC}(\lambda)$ denote the closure of $(\nu_\emptyset, J_\emptyset)$ under f'_a .

Theorem (Jeong–Kang–Kashiwara–Shin, 2007)

Let $\lambda \in P^+$ and let T_λ and C be certain “elementary crystals.” Then $B(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to the connected component of $B(\infty) \otimes T_\lambda \otimes C$ containing $\mathbf{1} \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c$.

- ▶ Define new crystal operators $f'_a(\nu, J)$ as $f_a(\nu, J)$ unless
 - $p_i^{(a)} + \langle h_a, \lambda \rangle < x$ for some $(a, i) \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x \in J_i^{(a)}$ or
 - $\varphi_a(\nu, J) = 0$ for $a \in I^{\text{im}}$,in which case set $f'_a(\nu, J) = 0$.
- ▶ Let $\text{RC}(\lambda)$ denote the closure of $(\nu_\emptyset, J_\emptyset)$ under f'_a .

Theorem (Schilling, 2006; S-Scrimshaw, 2015, 2017, 2021)

Let $\lambda \in P^+$. Then $\text{RC}(\lambda) \cong B(\lambda)$.

One can characterize the image of $B(\lambda)$ inside $B(\infty)$ using the $*$ -involution in analogy to Kashiwara (1995).

One can characterize the image of $B(\lambda)$ inside $B(\infty)$ using the $*$ -involution in analogy to Kashiwara (1995).

Corollary

Let $\lambda \in P^+$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{RC}(\lambda) \cong \left\{ (\nu, J) \otimes t_\lambda \in \mathrm{RC}(\infty) \otimes T_\lambda : \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_a^*(\nu, J) \leq \langle h_a, \lambda \rangle \text{ for all } a \in I^{\mathrm{re}}, \\ e_a^*(\nu, J) = \mathbf{0} \text{ if } \langle h_a, \lambda \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } a \in I^{\mathrm{im}} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Theorem (Kashiwara–Saito, 1997; Jeong–Kang–Kashiwara–Shin, 2007)

Let B be an abstract $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal such that

- 1 $\text{wt}(B) \subset -Q^+$,
- 2 there exists an element $v_0 \in B$ such that $\text{wt}(v_0) = 0$,
- 3 for any $v \in B$ such that $v \neq v_0$, there exists some $a \in I$ such that $e_a v \neq 0$, and
- 4 for all $a \in I$, there exists a strict embedding $\Psi_a: B \rightarrow B \otimes \mathbf{N}_{(a)}$.

Then there exists a crystal isomorphism $B \cong B(\infty)$ such that $v_0 \mapsto \mathbf{1}$.

Characterizing $B(\infty)$ again

Define

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_a(v) := \max\{k' \geq 0 : e_a^{k'} v \neq \mathbf{0}\}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}_a(v) := \max\{k' \geq 0 : f_a^{k'} v \neq \mathbf{0}\},$$

and similarly for $\tilde{\varepsilon}_a^*$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_a^*$ using e_a^* and f_a^* respectively. Additionally, define

$$\kappa_a(v) := \begin{cases} \varepsilon_a(v) + \varepsilon_a^*(v) + \langle h_a, \text{wt}(v) \rangle & \text{if } a \in I^{\text{re}}, \\ \varepsilon_a(v) + \tilde{\varepsilon}_a^*(v) A_{aa} + \langle h_a, \text{wt}(v) \rangle & \text{if } a \in I^{\text{im}}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Kashiwara–Saito, 1997; Tingley–Webster, 2016; S–Scrimshaw, 2018, 2021)

Let $(B, e_a, f_a, \varepsilon_a, \varphi_a, \text{wt})$ and $(B^, e_a^*, f_a^*, \varepsilon_a^*, \varphi_a^*, \text{wt})$ be connected abstract $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystals with the same highest weight element $v_0 \in B \cap B^*$ that is the unique element of weight 0, where the remaining crystal data is determined by setting $\text{wt}(v_0) = 0$ and*

$$\varepsilon_a(v) = \begin{cases} \max\{k \geq 0 : e_a^k v \neq \mathbf{0}\} & \text{if } a \in I^{\text{re}}, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \in I^{\text{im}}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

Assume further that, for all $a \neq b$ in I and all $v \in B$,

- 1 $f_a v, f_a^* v \neq \mathbf{0}$;
- 2 $f_a^* f_b v = f_b f_a^* v$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_a^*(f_b v) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_a^*(v)$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_b(f_a^* v) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_b(v)$;
- 3 $\kappa_a(v) = 0$ implies $f_a v = f_a^* v$;
- 4 for $a \in I^{\text{re}}$:
 - $\kappa_a(v) \geq 0$;
 - $\kappa_a(v) \geq 1$ implies $\varepsilon_a^*(f_a v) = \varepsilon_a^*(v)$ and $\varepsilon_a(f_a^* v) = \varepsilon_a(v)$;
 - $\kappa_a(v) \geq 2$ implies $f_a f_a^* v = f_a^* f_a v$;
- 5 for $a \in I^{\text{im}}$: $\kappa_a(v) > 0$ implies $\tilde{\varepsilon}_a^*(f_a v) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_a^*(v)$ and $f_a f_a^* v = f_a^* f_a v$.

Then

$$(B, e_a, f_a, \varepsilon_a, \varphi_a, \text{wt}) \cong B(\infty).$$

Theorem

Moreover, suppose $\kappa_a(v) = 0$ if and only if

$$\kappa_a^*(v) := \varepsilon_a^*(v) + \tilde{\varepsilon}_a(v)A_{aa} + \langle h_a, \text{wt}(v) \rangle = 0$$

for all $a \in I^{\text{im}}$ and $v \in B$. Then

$$(B^*, e_a^*, f_a^*, \varepsilon_a^*, \varphi_a^*, \text{wt}) \cong B(\infty)$$

with $e_a^* = e_a^*$ and $f_a^* = f_a^*$.

THANK
YOU!